

STABLE SOLUTIONS OF ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

ALBERTO FARINA, YANNICK SIRE AND ENRICO VALDINOCI

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the study of rigidity properties for special solutions of nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations on smooth, boundaryless Riemannian manifolds. As far as stable solutions are concerned, we derive a new weighted Poincaré inequality which allows to prove Liouville type results and the flatness of the level sets of the solution in dimension 2, under suitable geometric assumptions on the ambient manifold.

CONTENTS

Notation	1
1. Main results	3
2. A Preliminary result	5
3. A geometric inequality	5
4. Flatness lemmata	6
5. Proof of Theorem 1	8
6. Proof of Theorem 2	8
7. A useful cutoff	9
8. Proof of Theorem 3	10
9. Proof of Theorem 4	11
References	11

NOTATION

Throughout this paper, M will denote a complete, connected, smooth, n -dimensional, manifold without boundary, endowed with a smooth Riemannian metric $g = \{g_{ij}\}$.

As customary, we consider the volume term induced by g , that is, in local coordinates,

$$(1) \quad dV_g = \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n,$$

where $\{dx^1, \dots, dx^n\}$ is the basis of 1-forms dual to the vector basis $\{\partial_i, \dots, \partial_n\}$, and $|g| = \det(g_{ij}) \geq 0$.

AF: LAMFA – CNRS UMR 6140 – Université de Picardie Jules Verne – Faculté de Mathématiques et d'Informatique – 33, rue Saint-Leu – Amiens, France – alberto.farina@u-picardie.fr

YS: Université Aix-Marseille 3, Paul Cézanne – LATP – Marseille, France – sire@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

EV: Università di Roma Tor Vergata – Dipartimento di Matematica – Rome, Italy – enrico.valdinoci@uniroma2.it.

We denote by $\operatorname{div}_g X$ the divergence of a smooth vector field X on M , that is, in local coordinates

$$\operatorname{div}_g X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_i \left(\sqrt{|g|} X^i \right),$$

where the Einstein summation convention is understood.

We also denote by ∇_g the Riemannian gradient and by Δ_g the Laplace-Beltrami operator, that is, in local coordinates,

$$(\nabla_g \phi)^i = g^{ij} \partial_j \phi$$

and

$$(2) \quad \Delta_g \phi = \operatorname{div}_g(\nabla_g \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_i \left(\sqrt{|g|} g^{ij} \partial_j \phi \right),$$

for any smooth function $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Due to this divergence structure (see, for example, page 184 of [GHL90]), we have that

$$(3) \quad \int_M \phi \Delta_g \psi \, dV_g = - \int_M \langle \nabla_g \phi, \nabla_g \psi \rangle \, dV_g,$$

for any smooth $\phi, \psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with either ϕ or ψ compactly supported, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product induced by g (no confusion should arise with the standard Euclidean dot product).

In fact, by approximation, we have that (3) also holds when ϕ is compactly supported and Lipschitz continuous with respect to the metric structure induced by g .

Given a vector field X , we also denote

$$|X| = \sqrt{\langle X, X \rangle}.$$

Also (see, for instance Definition 3.3.5 in [Jos98]), it is customary to define the Hessian of a smooth function ϕ as the symmetric 2-tensor given in a local patch by

$$(H_\phi)_{ij} = \partial_{ij}^2 \phi - \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k \phi,$$

where Γ_{ij}^k are the Christoffel symbols, namely

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{hk} (\partial_i g_{hj} + \partial_j g_{ih} - \partial_h g_{ij}).$$

Given a tensor A , we define its norm by $|A| = \sqrt{AA^*}$, where A^* is the adjoint.

The above quantities are related to the Ricci tensor Ric_g via the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula (see, for instance, [BGM71, Wan05] and references therein):

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta_g |\nabla_g \phi|^2 = |H_\phi|^2 + \langle \nabla_g \Delta_g \phi, \nabla_g \phi \rangle + \operatorname{Ric}_g(\nabla_g \phi, \nabla_g \phi).$$

We say that M is parabolic if for any $p \in M$ there exists a precompact neighborhood U_p of p in M such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\phi_\epsilon \in C_0^\infty(M)$ for which $\phi_\epsilon(q) = 1$ for any $q \in U_p$ and

$$(5) \quad \int_M |\nabla \phi_\epsilon|^2 \, dV_g \leq \epsilon.$$

We refer to [Roy52, LS84, GT99] for further comments on parabolicity.

During the course of the paper, we will often use normal coordinates at some fixed point $p_o \in M$ (see, for example, page 93 of [GHL90]); that is we suppose that

$$(6) \quad g_{ij}(p_o) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \partial_k g_{ij}(p_o) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i(p_o) = 0.$$

This paper will deal with solutions $u \in C^2(M)$ of

$$(7) \quad -\Delta_g u = f(u),$$

where $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$.

We say that a solution u is stable if

$$(8) \quad \int_M |\nabla_g \xi|^2 - f'(u) \xi^2 dV_g \geq 0$$

for every $\xi \in C_0^\infty(M)$.

Such a stability condition is customary in the calculus of variations (see, for example, [MP78, FCS80, AAC01]), and it states that the second variation of the (possibly formal) energy functional associated to (7) is nonnegative (for instance, local minima of the energy are stable solutions).

1. MAIN RESULTS

We give the following Liouville type and flatness results:

Theorem 1. *Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold.*

Let u be a stable solution of (7). Suppose that

- *either M is compact*
- *or M is complete and parabolic, and $|\nabla_g u| \in L^\infty(M)$.*

Assume also that the Ricci curvature is nonnegative and that Ric_g does not vanish identically. Then u is constant.

Note that the conclusion of Theorem 1 is sharp. Indeed, $\mathbb{R}^2$ endowed with its usual flat metric is parabolic (with identically zero Ricci tensor). The function

$$u(x_1, x_2) = \tanh\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

is a stable non-constant solution of the two-dimensional Allen-Cahn equation, namely

$$-\Delta u = u - u^3.$$

The previous example motivates the following result, which provides a rigidity property for stable solutions of (7) when $n = 2$.

Theorem 2. *Let M be a complete, connected Riemannian surface (that is, a complete, connected Riemannian manifold with $\dim M = 2$).*

Let u be a stable solution of (7), with $|\nabla_g u| \in L^\infty(M)$. Assume also that Ric_g vanishes identically. Then, any connected component of the level set of u on which $\nabla_g u$ does not vanish is a geodesic.

Of course, as well known, in dimension $n = 2$, Ricci flat surfaces are just surfaces with zero Gaussian curvature, thence, in Theorem 2, the assumption that Ric_g vanishes identically may be equivalently stated by requiring the Gaussian curvature to vanish identically.

Also, Theorem 2 does not hold in high dimensions $n \geq 9$, as shown in [dPKW08] for the Allen-Cahn equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with its standard flat metric. More precisely, in $\mathbb{R}^9$ (with flat metric), one can construct monotone (hence stable, see Corollary 4.3 in [AAC01]) solutions whose level sets are not totally geodesic.

This latter fact suggests that the parabolicity assumption in Theorem 2 (which is hidden in the two-dimensional character of M) seems to be necessary to obtain rigidity results on stable solutions of equation (7).

The proofs of our main results are based on a geometric formula, which will be given in Theorem 6 below, and which can be considered as a weighted Poincaré inequality.

The use of such a type of formula in the Euclidean setting was started in [SZ98a, SZ98b] and its importance for symmetry results was explained in [Far02]. Further applications to PDEs have been given in [FSV08, SV08, FV08].

We now give two additional results in the spirit of Theorem 1, under a sign assumption on the nonlinearity and on the growth of the volume of the geodesic balls.

For this, we denote $\mathcal{B}_R$ the (open) geodesic ball of radius $R > 0$, centered at a given point of M .

We denote by $\mathcal{V}_R$ the volume of $\mathcal{B}_R$, computed with respect to the volume element dV_g in (1). We obtain the following results:

Theorem 3. *Let M be a complete, connected Riemannian manifold and let u be a bounded stable solution of (7).*

Suppose that

$$(9) \quad f(r) \geq 0 \text{ for any } r \in \mathbb{R}$$

and that

$$(10) \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} R^{-4} \mathcal{V}_R = 0.$$

Assume also that the Ricci curvature of M is nonnegative and that Ric_g does not vanish identically.

Then u is constant.

Theorem 4. *Let M be a complete, connected Riemannian manifold and let u be a stable solution of (7).*

Suppose that

$$(11) \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} R^{-2} \mathcal{V}_R \left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}_R} |\nabla_g u| \right)^2 = 0.$$

Assume also that the Ricci curvature of M is nonnegative and that Ric_g does not vanish identically.

Then u is constant.

We recall that, for complete, connected, n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, one controls $\mathcal{V}_R$ with R^n (see [BC64]). Therefore, (10) always holds when $n \leq 3$.

The paper is organized as follows. In § 2, we make an observation about the positivity of an interesting geometric quantity. In § 3 we discuss the weighted Poincaré inequality which will be the keystone of the techniques presented here. From that, useful flatness results are obtained in § 4.

The proofs of the main results are given in § 5–9.

2. A PRELIMINARY RESULT

From now on, M will always denote a complete, connected Riemannian manifold.

Lemma 5. *For any smooth $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have that*

$$(12) \quad |H_\phi|^2 \geq |\nabla_g |\nabla_g \phi||^2 \quad \text{almost everywhere.}$$

Also, equality holds at $p \in M \cap \{\nabla_g \phi \neq 0\}$ if and only if for any $k = 1, \dots, n$ there exists $\kappa^k : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(13) \quad \nabla_g (\nabla_g \phi)^k(p) = \kappa^k(p) \nabla_g \phi(p).$$

Proof. From Stampacchia's Theorem (see, for instance, Theorem 6.19 in [LL97]), we know that $\nabla_g |\nabla_g \phi| = 0$ on $\{\nabla_g \phi = 0\}$ up to a null-measure set.

Therefore, we can now concentrate on points in $M \cap \{\nabla_g \phi \neq 0\}$.

Fix $p \in M \cap \{\nabla_g \phi \neq 0\}$, with $\nabla_g \phi(p) \neq 0$. Recalling (6), we use normal coordinates at p . Therefore $(H_\phi)_{ij}(p) = \partial_{ij}^2 \phi(p)$ and so

$$|H_\phi|^2(p) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left(\partial_{ij}^2 \phi(p) \right).$$

Analogously, we have

$$|\nabla_g \psi|(p) = |\nabla \psi(p)|,$$

for any $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth in the vicinity of p . As a consequence, taking $\psi = |\nabla_g \phi|$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_g |\nabla_g \phi|| (p) &= |\nabla |\nabla_g \phi|| (p) \\ &= \left| \frac{\nabla_g \phi}{|\nabla_g \phi|} \cdot \nabla (\nabla_g \phi) \right| (p) = \left| \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|} \cdot \nabla (\nabla_g \phi) \right| (p). \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (6),

$$\partial_i (\nabla_g \phi)^h(p) = \partial_i (g^{hk} \partial_k \phi)(p) = \delta^{hk} \partial_{ik}^2 \phi(p),$$

we thus obtain

$$\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla (\nabla_g \phi)^h(p) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \partial_i \phi \partial_{ih}^2 \phi(p).$$

Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_g |\nabla_g \phi||^2(p) &= \frac{1}{|\nabla \phi|^2} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq n} \left(\partial_i \phi \partial_{ih}^2 \phi \right)^2 = \frac{1}{|\nabla \phi|^2} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq n} \left(\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla (\partial_h \phi) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq h \leq n} \left| \nabla (\partial_h \phi) \right|^2 = |H_\phi|^2(p), \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $\nabla \phi$ and $\nabla (\partial_k \phi)$ are parallel, for any $k = 1, \dots, n$. This gives the desired result. $\square$

3. A GEOMETRIC INEQUALITY

Theorem 6. *Let u be a stable solution of (7). Then,*

$$(14) \quad \int_M \left(\text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) + |H_u|^2 - |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \right) \phi^2 dV_g \leq \int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \phi|^2 dV_g,$$

for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(M)$.

Proof. We take $\phi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ and $\xi = |\nabla_g u| \phi$ in (8) (note that this choice is possible in the light of a density argument). We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M f'(u) |\nabla_g u|^2 \phi^2 dV_g &\leq \int_M |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \phi^2 + |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \phi|^2 + 2\phi |\nabla_g u| \langle \nabla_g \phi, \nabla_g |\nabla_g u| \rangle dV_g \\ &= \int_M |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \phi^2 + |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla_g \phi^2, \nabla_g |\nabla_g u|^2 \rangle dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, recalling (3) and (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M f'(u) |\nabla_g u|^2 \phi^2 dV_g &\leq \int_M |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \phi^2 + |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 \Delta_g |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g \\ &= \int_M |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \phi^2 + |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \phi|^2 \\ &\quad - \phi^2 \left(|H_u|^2 + \langle \nabla_g \Delta_g u, \nabla_g u \rangle + \text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) \right) dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by differentiating (7), we have that

$$-\nabla_g \Delta_g u = f'(u) \nabla_g u,$$

we obtain

$$0 \leq \int_M |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \phi^2 + |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \phi|^2 - \phi^2 \left(|H_u|^2 + \text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) \right) dV_g,$$

which gives (14). $\square$

4. FLATNESS LEMMATA

Lemma 7. *Let u be a smooth function on M .*

Assume that

$$(15) \quad \text{the Ricci curvature is nonnegative.}$$

Suppose also that for any $p \in M$ there exists a neighborhood V_p of p in M such that

$$(16) \quad \int_{V_p} \left(\text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) + |H_u|^2 - |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \right) dV_g \leq 0.$$

Then,

$$(17) \quad |H_u|^2(p) = |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2(p) \quad \text{for any } p \in M \cap \{\nabla_g u \neq 0\},$$

and

$$(18) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u)(p) = 0 \quad \text{for any } p \in M.$$

Furthermore, for any $k = 1, \dots, n$ there exist $\kappa^k : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(19) \quad \nabla_g (\nabla_g u)^k(p) = \kappa^k(p) \nabla_g u(p) \quad \text{for any } p \in M \cap \{\nabla_g u \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. We fix $p \in M$ and we show that (18) holds at p , and that (17) and (19) hold at p too if $\nabla_g u(p) \neq 0$.

From (12), (15) and (16), we have that

$$\int_{V_p} \text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) dV_g = 0 = \int_{V_p} |H_u|^2 - |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 dV_g.$$

Accordingly,

$$(20) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) = 0 = |H_u|^2 - |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \quad \text{almost everywhere in } V_p.$$

Since Ric_g is continuous, (20) implies that $\text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) = 0$ everywhere in V_p and so (18) holds at p .

In addition, if $p \in \{\nabla_g u \neq 0\}$, we have that the map $|H_u|^2 - |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2$ is continuous in the vicinity of p , and so (20) says that (17) holds at p in this case.

Finally, (17) and (13) give (19). $\square$

Lemma 8. *Let u be a stable solution of (7) and let the Ricci curvature of M be nonnegative. Suppose that*

- *either M is compact*
- *or M is complete and parabolic, and $|\nabla_g u| \in L^\infty(M)$.*

Then, (17), (18) and (19) hold true.

Proof. We claim that there exists a neighborhood V_p of p in M such that (16) holds.

Indeed, if M is compact we can use Theorem 6, by taking $\phi = 1$ in (14), obtaining

$$\int_M \text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) + |H_u|^2 - |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 dV_g \leq 0.$$

This gives (16), with $V_p = M$.

If, on the other hand, M is parabolic and $|\nabla_g u|$ is bounded, we fix $p \in M$, we recall Theorem 6 once more, we take ϕ_ϵ as in (5) and we plug it in (14): we recall (12) and so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{U_p} \left(\text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) + |H_u|^2 - |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \right) dV_g \\ & \leq \int_M \left(\text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) + |H_u|^2 - |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \right) \phi_\epsilon^2 dV_g \\ & \leq \int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \phi_\epsilon|^2 dV_g \\ & \leq \|\nabla_g u\|_{L^\infty(M)}^2 \int_M |\nabla_g \phi_\epsilon|^2 dV_g \\ & \leq \|\nabla_g u\|_{L^\infty(M)}^2 \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By taking ϵ arbitrarily small, we obtain (16), with $V_p = U_p$ in this case.

The desired result then follows from Lemma 7. $\square$

Lemma 9. *Suppose that the Ricci curvature of M is nonnegative and that Ric_g does not vanish identically.*

Let u be a solution of (7), with

$$(21) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u)(p) = 0 \quad \text{for any } p \in M.$$

Then, u is constant.

Proof. Since Ric_g is nonnegative definite and it does not vanish identically, we have that Ric_g is positive definite in a suitable open subset of M .

Consequently, (21) implies that $\nabla_g u(p) = 0$ for p in a suitable open subset of M .

Thence, u is constant on such a subset. By Unique Continuation Principle (see Theorem 1.8 of [Kaz88]), we have that u is constant on M . $\square$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

From Lemma 8, we have that (18) holds true.

This makes it possible to use Lemma 9 and thus complete the proof of Theorem 1.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

First of all, we observe that M has nonnegative Gaussian curvature, since it has nonnegative Ricci curvature and $\dim M = 2$.

Therefore, from¹ Theorem 15 of [Hub57] (see also [CY75, Var81]), we get that

$$(22) \quad M \text{ is parabolic.}$$

Take any connected component $\mathcal{C}$ of $\{u = c\} \cap \{\nabla_g u \neq 0\}$. Then, $\mathcal{C}$ is a smooth curve.

Thus, we take $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ to be $\mathcal{C}$ traveled with unit speed (with respect to the metric g), that is

$$(23) \quad |\dot{\gamma}|^2 = 1.$$

With this notation, Theorem 2 is proved once we show that

$$(24) \quad \ddot{\gamma}^k + \Gamma_{ij}^k \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j = 0.$$

To prove (24), we take any $t_o \in \mathbb{R}$ and we show that (24) holds at t_o . For this, we choose a normal coordinate frame at $p_o = \gamma(t_o)$.

Then, from (23),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(g_{ij}(\gamma(t)) \dot{\gamma}^i(t) \dot{\gamma}^j(t) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_k g_{ij}(\gamma(t)) \dot{\gamma}^k(t) \dot{\gamma}^i(t) \dot{\gamma}^j(t) + g_{ij}(\gamma(t)) \dot{\gamma}^i(t) \ddot{\gamma}^j(t). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (6), we have

$$(25) \quad 0 = \dot{\gamma}(t_o) \cdot \ddot{\gamma}(t_o).$$

Moreover, since $u(\gamma(t)) = c$, we also have

$$(26) \quad 0 = \frac{d}{dt} u(\gamma(t)) = \partial_i u(\gamma(t)) \dot{\gamma}^i(t).$$

By differentiating (26) once more time, one gets

$$(27) \quad 0 = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\partial_i u(\gamma(t)) \dot{\gamma}^i(t) \right) = \partial_{ij} u(\gamma(t)) \dot{\gamma}^i(t) \dot{\gamma}^j(t) + \partial_i u(\gamma(t)) \ddot{\gamma}^i(t).$$

We now observe that (22) and Lemma 8 make it possible to use (19) here.

Accordingly, from (19) and (6) we obtain, for any $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\partial_j \nabla u(p_o) = \kappa_j(p_o) \nabla u(p_o),$$

for some $\kappa_j(p_o) \in \mathbb{R}$.

¹We remark that we are using here in a crucial way the fact that M has nonnegative Gauss curvature to obtain (22), since there are examples of hyperbolic Riemannian surfaces (or, even, hyperbolic two-dimensional graphs): see [Oss56a, Oss56b, Mil77].

This and (27) give that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \partial_{ij}u(p_o)\dot{\gamma}^i(t_o)\dot{\gamma}^j(t_o) + \partial_iu(p_o)\ddot{\gamma}^i(t_o) \\
&= \kappa_j(p_o)\partial_iu(p_o)\dot{\gamma}^i(t_o)\dot{\gamma}^j(t_o) + \partial_iu(p_o)\ddot{\gamma}^i(t_o) \\
&= \left(\kappa_j(p_o)\dot{\gamma}^j(t_o)\right)\left(\partial_iu(p_o)\dot{\gamma}^i(t_o)\right) + \partial_iu(p_o)\ddot{\gamma}^i(t_o).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, employing (26),

$$(28) \quad 0 = \partial_iu(p_o)\ddot{\gamma}^i(t_o).$$

By (25) and (28), we see that $\ddot{\gamma}(t_o)$ is orthogonal (in the Euclidean sense) both to $\dot{\gamma}(t_o)$, which is tangent to $\{u = c\}$ at p_o , and to $\nabla u(p_o)$, which is normal to $\{u = c\}$ at p_o .

Therefore, $\ddot{\gamma}(t_o) = 0$.

As a consequence, from (6),

$$\ddot{\gamma}^k(t_o) + \Gamma_{ij}^k(p_o)\dot{\gamma}^i(t_o)\dot{\gamma}^j(t_o) = \ddot{\gamma}^k(t_o) + 0 = 0.$$

This proves (24) at the generic time $t = t_o$ and it thus completes the proof of Theorem 2.

7. A USEFUL CUTOFF

For the proof of Theorems 3 and 4, it is useful to introduce the following cutoff function.

Let d_g be the geodesic distance. Then $\mathcal{B}_R = \{p \in M \text{ s.t. } d_g(p) < R\}$.

Fix $\tau \in C_0^\infty([-2, 2], [0, 1])$ with $\tau(t) = 1$ for any $t \in [-1, 1]$.

Given $R > 0$, for any $p \in M$, we define

$$(29) \quad \tau_R(p) = \tau\left(\frac{d_g(p)}{R}\right).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(30) \quad &\tau_R(p) = 1 \text{ for any } p \in \mathcal{B}_R, \tau_R(p) = 0 \text{ for any } p \in M \setminus \mathcal{B}_{2R}, \text{ and} \\
&|\nabla_g \tau_R(p)| \leq \frac{C_o}{R} \chi_{\mathcal{B}_{2R} \setminus \mathcal{B}_R}(p) \quad \text{for any } p \in M.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have:

Lemma 10. *Suppose that the Ricci curvature of M is nonnegative and that Ric_g does not vanish identically.*

Let u be a stable solution of (7) such that

$$(31) \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \tau_R|^2 dV_g = 0.$$

Then u is constant.

Proof. From (12), (30), (31) and Theorem 6,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M \left(\text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) + |H_u|^2 - |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \right) dV_g \\
&= \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R} \left(\text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) + |H_u|^2 - |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \right) dV_g \\
&\leq \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M \left(\text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u) + |H_u|^2 - |\nabla_g |\nabla_g u||^2 \right) \tau_R^2 dV_g \\
&\leq \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \tau_R|^2 dV_g \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (16) holds true with $V_p = M$.

Therefore, by Lemma 7, $\text{Ric}_g(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g u)$ vanishes identically on M .

Hence, the desired result follows from Lemma 9. $\square$

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Let $m_-, m_+ \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $m_- \leq u(p) \leq m_+$ for any $p \in M$. Let also τ_R as in (29).

Making use of (3) and (9), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \int_M f(u)(u - m_+) \tau_R^2 dV_g \\
&= \int_M \langle \nabla_g u, \nabla_g ((u - m_+) \tau_R^2) \rangle dV_g \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} |\nabla_g u|^2 \tau_R^2 dV_g + 2 \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} \langle \nabla_g u, \nabla_g \tau_R \rangle \tau_R (u - m_+) dV_g \\
&\geq \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} |\nabla_g u|^2 \tau_R^2 dV_g - 2(m_+ - m_-) \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} |\nabla_g u| |\nabla_g \tau_R| \tau_R dV_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$0 \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} |\nabla_g u|^2 \tau_R^2 dV_g - C_\star \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} |\nabla_g \tau_R|^2 dV_g$$

for a suitable $C_\star > 0$, possibly depending on m_- and m_+ , and so, recalling (30),

$$\begin{aligned}
(32) \quad & \int_{\mathcal{B}_R} |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g \leq \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} |\nabla_g u|^2 \tau_R^2 dV_g \\
& \leq 2C_\star \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} |\nabla_g \tau_R|^2 dV_g \leq \frac{\bar{C}}{R^2} \mathcal{V}_{2R},
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\bar{C} > 0$ which does not depend on R .

From (10), (30) and (32), we conclude that

$$\liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \tau_R|^2 dV_g \leq \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{C_o^2}{R^2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g \leq \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{C_o^2 \bar{C}}{4R^4} \mathcal{V}_{4R} = 0.$$

Then, we use Lemma 10 to end the proof of Theorem 3.

9. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

We take τ_R as in (29). Then, from (11) and (30),

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \tau_R|^2 dV_g &= \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} |\nabla_g u|^2 |\nabla_g \tau_R|^2 dV_g \\ &\leq \liminf_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} |\nabla_g u| \right)^2 \frac{C_o^2}{R^2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2R}} dV_g \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the proof of Theorem 4 is ended via Lemma 10.

REFERENCES

- [AAC01] Giovanni Alberti, Luigi Ambrosio, and Xavier Cabré. On a long-standing conjecture of E. De Giorgi: symmetry in 3D for general nonlinearities and a local minimality property. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 65(1-3):9–33, 2001. Special issue dedicated to Antonio Avantaggiati on the occasion of his 70th birthday.
- [BC64] Richard L. Bishop and Richard J. Crittenden. *Geometry of manifolds*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XV. Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [BGM71] Marcel Berger, Paul Gauduchon, and Edmond Mazet. *Le spectre d’une variété riemannienne*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 194. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [CY75] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau. Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 28(3):333–354, 1975.
- [dPKW08] Manuel del Pino, Mike Kowalczyk, and Juncheng Wei. On De Giorgi Conjecture in Dimension $N \geq 9$. *Preprint*, 2008.
- [Far02] Alberto Farina. Propriétés qualitatives de solutions d’équations et systèmes d’équations non-linéaires. 2002. Habilitation à diriger des recherches, Paris VI.
- [FCS80] Doris Fischer-Colbrie and Richard Schoen. The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 33(2):199–211, 1980.
- [FSV08] Alberto Farina, Berardino Sciunzi, and Enrico Valdinoci. Bernstein and De Giorgi type problems: new results via a geometric approach. *To appear in Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, 2008.
- [FV08] Fausto Ferrari and Enrico Valdinoci. A geometric inequality in the heisenberg group and its applications to stable solutions of semilinear problems. *To appear in Math. Ann.*, 2008.
- [GHL90] Sylvestre Gallot, Dominique Hulin, and Jacques Lafontaine. *Riemannian geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1990.
- [GT99] Vladimir Gol’dshstein and Marc Troyanov. The Kelvin-Nevanlinna-Royden criterion for p -parabolicity. *Math. Z.*, 232(4):607–619, 1999.
- [Hub57] Alfred Huber. On subharmonic functions and differential geometry in the large. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 32:13–72, 1957.
- [Jos98] Jürgen Jost. *Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.
- [Kaz88] Jerry L. Kazdan. Unique continuation in geometry. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 41(5):667–681, 1988.
- [LL97] Elliott H. Lieb and Michael Loss. *Analysis*, volume 14 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [LS84] Terry Lyons and Dennis Sullivan. Function theory, random paths and covering spaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 19(2):299–323, 1984.
- [Mil77] John Milnor. On deciding whether a surface is parabolic or hyperbolic. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 84(1):43–46, 1977.
- [MP78] William F. Moss and John Piepenbrink. Positive solutions of elliptic equations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 75(1):219–226, 1978.
- [Oss56a] Robert Osserman. A hyperbolic surface in 3-space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 7:54–58, 1956.
- [Oss56b] Robert Osserman. Riemann surfaces of class A. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 82:217–245, 1956.
- [Roy52] H. L. Royden. Harmonic functions on open Riemann surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73:40–94, 1952.
- [SV08] Yannick Sire and Enrico Valdinoci. Fractional Laplacian and boundary reactions phase transitions: a geometric inequality and a symmetry result. *Preprint*, 2008.

- [SZ98a] Peter Sternberg and Kevin Zumbrun. Connectivity of phase boundaries in strictly convex domains. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 141(4):375–400, 1998.
- [SZ98b] Peter Sternberg and Kevin Zumbrun. A Poincaré inequality with applications to volume-constrained area-minimizing surfaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 503:63–85, 1998.
- [Var81] Nicholas Th. Varopoulos. The Poisson kernel on positively curved manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 44(3):359–380, 1981.
- [Wan05] Jiaping Wang. Lecture notes on geometric analysis. 2005. www.math.nthu.edu.tw/user/writing/w1168.pdf.